



ISSN Print: 2664-9799  
ISSN Online: 2664-9802  
Impact Factor (RJIF): 8.97  
IJHER 2025; 7(2): 540-543  
[www.humanitiesjournal.net](http://www.humanitiesjournal.net)  
Received: 07-10-2025  
Accepted: 11-11-2025

**Rajendra Pratap Singh**  
Doctoral Research Scholar,  
Department of Sociology,  
Banaras Hindu University,  
Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh, India

## Max weber's charisma in the age of social media gurus

**Rajendra Pratap Singh**

DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.33545/26649799.2025.v7.i2g.306>

### Abstract

Max Weber's concept of charisma was developed to explain forms of authority grounded in the recognition of extraordinary personal qualities often emerging in moments of crisis and sustained through emotional devotion rather than tradition or law. In contemporary society, charisma has increasingly migrated from physical gatherings and institutional spaces to digital platforms where social media gurus, influencers and spiritual entrepreneurs command massive followings. This paper attempts to examine how Weberian charisma is reconfigured in the age of social media arguing that charisma has not declined under conditions of modern rationalization but has been technologically reshaped through visibility, performance, algorithmic circulation etc. Drawing on Weber's theory of authority and insights from media sociology, the study explores how digital platforms alter the production, recognition and routinization of charismatic power. Social media enables charisma to operate through visual storytelling, emotional appeal, constant interaction while metrics such as followers, likes, shares etc. become indicators of legitimacy. Unlike classical charismatic authority rooted in face-to-face recognition, digital charisma is sustained through mediated intimacy and parasocial relationships that blur boundaries between devotion, consumption and self-help. The paper also highlights how platform algorithms actively participate in amplifying certain charismatic figures introducing new forms of power that shape belief and influence beyond individual agency. At the same time digital charisma becomes rapidly routinized through monetization, branding and institutionalization echoing Weber's insights on the fragile and unstable nature of charismatic authority. By revisiting Weber in the context of social media, the paper contributes to contemporary debates on religion, power and public culture showing that charisma today operates as a hybrid form of authority where emotional devotion, technological mediation and market logics intersect.

**Keywords:** Charisma, max weber, social media gurus, digital authority, influencers, religion and media, algorithmic power, parasocial interaction, routinization of charisma, public culture

### Introduction

Max Weber conceptualized charisma as a distinct form of authority grounded in the recognition of extraordinary personal qualities sustained not by tradition or legal rationality but by emotional devotion, trust and belief on the part of followers often emerging in periods of uncertainty or social disruption (Weber, 1978) <sup>[1]</sup>. While Weber anticipated that modern rationalization and bureaucratic order would gradually constrain charismatic authority, contemporary social realities suggest a different trajectory particularly in the context of digital media. In recent years social media gurus, influencers, spiritual entrepreneurs etc. have emerged as powerful charismatic figures who command vast followings across platforms such as YouTube, Instagram, Facebook and short-video applications shaping moral advice, spiritual meaning, lifestyle choices and even political attitudes. These figures operate outside conventional religious institutions yet exercise significant authority revealing that charisma has not declined in modernity but has migrated into new communicative environments. Digital platforms now function as new arenas of authority and belief where visibility, emotional storytelling, aesthetic performance, constant interaction become central to the recognition of charisma fundamentally altering how authority is produced and sustained (Castells, 2010) <sup>[2]</sup>. Unlike classical charismatic leaders who relied on physical presence and direct interaction, digital charismatic figures cultivate influence through screens, metrics and mediated intimacy where followers experience closeness through comments, live sessions, parasocial relationships rather than face-to-face encounters. The research problem addressed in this article lies in understanding how Weber's concept of charisma can be meaningfully applied to this digitally mediated landscape where algorithms,

**Corresponding Author:**  
**Rajendra Pratap Singh**  
Doctoral Research Scholar,  
Department of Sociology,  
Banaras Hindu University,  
Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh, India

platform design and market logics actively shape recognition and devotion. The central argument advanced here is that charisma has not been eroded by modern rationality but has been digitally reconfigured operating through visibility, performance and algorithmic amplification rather than through direct embodied presence alone. Digital charisma is increasingly measured through followers, likes, shares and virality transforming recognition into quantifiable legitimacy while simultaneously accelerating the routinization of charisma through branding, monetization, and institutionalization. This transformation resonates with recent sociological work that highlights how contemporary authority is shaped by media circulation and symbolic power rather than formal position (Hjarvard, 2011) [4]. In the Indian context, studies by Mitra on religious authority, ascetic identity and public culture demonstrate how traditional forms of charisma adapt to changing social and communicative environments, reinforcing the relevance of Weberian analysis for understanding new forms of mediated leadership (Mitra, 2025a; Mitra, 2025b) [6, 7]. The objectives of this study are to reinterpret Weber's theory of charisma in light of digital media, to analyze how social media platforms restructure authority and followership and to assess the broader implications of digital charisma for religion, culture and public life. The significance of this inquiry lies in bridging classical sociological theory with contemporary digital realities showing that charisma today persists as a powerful social force, reshaped but not diminished, revealing how authority, belief, devotion are reorganized rather than dissolved in a digital society.

### Theoretical Framework

Max Weber's theoretical contribution to the understanding of authority remains central for analyzing how power is legitimized and sustained in both traditional and modern societies and it provides a particularly useful lens for interpreting the reconfiguration of charisma in contemporary digital contexts. Weber famously identified three ideal types of authority as traditional, charismatic and rational-legal each grounded in different sources of legitimacy like inherited custom, extraordinary personal qualities and formal rules respectively (Weber, 1978) [11]. Among these, charismatic authority occupies a distinctive position because it rests not on institutions or law but on the social recognition of a leader's perceived exceptional qualities which followers interpret as sacred, heroic or exemplary. Charisma, in Weber's formulation is never purely individual; it exists only insofar as it is acknowledged, believed and emotionally affirmed by others making it a deeply relational and socially constructed form of power rather than a fixed personal trait. Weber also emphasized the inherent instability of charisma noting that it tends to undergo a process of routinization whereby spontaneous devotion is gradually transformed into organized structures, rules, offices and economic arrangements in order to sustain authority over time. This insight is crucial for understanding how charismatic figures, once institutionalized often lose their radical and disruptive potential while gaining durability and reach. Weber situated this dynamic within his broader analysis of modernity marked by rationalization, bureaucratization and what he famously described as the 'disenchantment of the world,' where calculability and formal reason increasingly dominate social life (Weber, 1978) [11]. Yet Weber did not argue that charisma disappears

under modern conditions; rather, he suggested that it persists in new and transformed ways often emerging in moments of social uncertainty or crisis as a counterforce to bureaucratic rationality. This theoretical insight is particularly relevant for contemporary digital society where rationalized systems, algorithms, metrics, platforms and data-driven governance coexist with an intensified search for meaning, guidance, emotional connection etc. In this context, digital media provides fertile ground for the re-emergence of charisma as visibility, performance and affective communication enable new forms of recognition and devotion that operate alongside rational-legal structures (Castells, 2010) [2]. Sociological studies of religion and public culture further demonstrate that authority today is increasingly mediated rather than purely institutional, aligning closely with Weber's relational understanding of charismatic power (Hjarvard, 2011) [4]. In the Indian context, Mitra's work on ascetic authority, religious authenticity and public religious life illustrates how charisma adapts to changing social environments without losing its symbolic force, as religious figures negotiate legitimacy through visibility, narrative and moral performance rather than lineage alone (Mitra, 2025) [6]. These insights reinforce Weber's relevance for analyzing digital charisma, showing that authority in the contemporary world is not simply imposed by systems or dissolved by rationalization but continually produced through interaction, belief and symbolic recognition. The analytical focus of this framework, therefore, treats charisma as a relational and socially constructed form of power, one that persists within modernity by adapting to new communicative conditions revealing Weber's theory as not only historically significant but analytically indispensable for understanding authority in the digital age.

### Methodology and Sources

The present study adopts a descriptive and analytical sociological approach to examine the reconfiguration of Weberian charisma in the age of social media treating digital charisma as a socially produced form of authority rather than as an individual psychological trait. The research design is secondary data based which is particularly appropriate for analyzing large-scale cultural transformations that unfold across platforms, publics and institutional contexts, and that cannot be adequately captured through a single field site or limited interviews. The primary sources for analysis include social media content of prominent gurus, influencers, and spiritual entrepreneurs such as publicly available videos, livestreams, posts, comment sections and self-presentational narratives which provide insight into how charisma is performed, recognized, sustained etc. through visibility, emotional appeal and audience interaction. These digital materials are read alongside academic literature on charisma, media and religion, drawing on Weberian sociology and contemporary studies of mediatization, digital authority and public culture to situate empirical observations within a robust theoretical framework (Weber, 1978; Hjarvard, 2011; Campbell, 2013) [11, 4, 1]. In addition, media reports and existing ethnographic studies are used to contextualize public debates, controversies, everyday engagements with social media gurus allowing the analysis to remain grounded in social realities without undertaking fresh ethnographic fieldwork. The analytical strategy combines discourse analysis with sociological interpretation focusing on language, narrative

framing, visual aesthetics, moral claims and affective cues through which charismatic authority is constructed and legitimized in digital space. Rather than treating online content as neutral information, the study examines how meanings are produced through repetition, platform affordances, algorithmic visibility and how followers participate in the co-construction of charisma through comments, testimonials and symbolic gestures of devotion. This interpretive approach resonates with Mitra's sociological analyses of religious authority and public religious life which emphasize how legitimacy and authenticity are negotiated through narrative, visibility, social recognition etc. rather than inherited status alone (Mitra, 2025a; Mitra, 2025b) <sup>[6, 7]</sup>. The study acknowledges certain limitations most notably the absence of primary interviews with gurus or followers and the reliance on publicly available content which may privilege highly visible figures and overlook less mediated forms of charisma; however, this limitation also enables a focus on structural patterns of digital authority making it possible to analyze how platforms, metrics and media logics systematically shape charismatic power in contemporary society. Overall, this methodological approach allows for a theoretically informed and empirically grounded understanding of digital charisma as a relational and socially constructed phenomenon.

### **Digital Charisma: Performance Visibility and Algorithms**

The emergence of digital media has transformed charisma from an authority grounded primarily in embodied presence and face-to-face recognition into a form of power that is increasingly performed, circulated, validated through mediated visibility marking a decisive shift in how charismatic authority is produced and sustained. In Weber's classical formulation, charisma depended on physical proximity, emotional intensity and direct interaction between leader and followers where gestures, voice, discipline and situational presence played a central role in generating belief (Weber, 1978) <sup>[11]</sup>. In digital environments, however, charisma is reconstituted as mediated performance where religious gurus, influencers, spiritual figures communicate through screens, carefully curated visuals, edited narratives and repetitive symbolic cues that compensate for the absence of bodily co-presence. Visual aesthetics become crucial as lighting, camera angles, attire, background symbolism and facial expressions are strategically employed to project authenticity, serenity or moral authority, while storytelling techniques transform sermons and advice into emotionally resonant narratives that followers can easily consume, share, internalize (Campbell, 2013) <sup>[1]</sup>. Emotional appeal is amplified through music, captions, testimonials and direct address creating a sense of intimacy that sustains what are often described as parasocial relationships where followers feel personally connected despite the absence of reciprocal interaction. In this context, charisma becomes increasingly measurable through digital metrics such as followers, likes, shares, comments and virality which function as public indicators of legitimacy and success, translating emotional devotion into quantifiable recognition. These metrics not only signal popularity but actively shape perception as high visibility is often interpreted as evidence of spiritual authenticity or moral truth, reinforcing Weber's insight that charisma exists only

when it is socially recognized even if the mechanisms of recognition have changed. Algorithmic amplification plays a decisive role in this process, as platform algorithms prioritize content that generates engagement, emotional intensity and retention, thereby acting as invisible gatekeepers of charismatic authority (Castells, 2010) <sup>[2]</sup>. Algorithms do not merely distribute religious content neutrally; they actively shape which charismatic figures gain prominence, how often they appear, and to whom they are shown, introducing a new layer of power that operates beyond the control of both leaders and followers. This algorithmic mediation accelerates the circulation of certain styles of charisma those that are visually compelling, emotionally charged and easily consumable while marginalizing quieter, less performative forms of authority. At the same time, digital charisma requires the continuous construction of authenticity, as mediated authority is fragile and subject to constant scrutiny, comparison and potential scandal. Authenticity is performed through claims of simplicity, moral consistency, spiritual discipline or personal struggle often reinforced through behind-the-scenes content, live interactions and narratives of humility that counteract perceptions of artificiality or commercialization. In the Indian context Mitra's sociological studies of ascetic authority and religious public life demonstrate how authenticity and legitimacy are negotiated through visibility, narrative, moral performance rather than inherited status alone offering a valuable parallel for understanding digital charisma as a socially constructed phenomenon shaped by recognition and representation (Mitra, 2025) <sup>[6]</sup>. The key transition, therefore, lies in the movement from face-to-face recognition to algorithmically mediated authority where charisma is no longer confined to physical gatherings but is continuously produced through performance, metrics and platform power. Digital charisma does not eliminate Weberian authority; it reconfigures it, embedding emotional devotion within technological systems that simultaneously expand reach and intensify dependence on visibility, repetition, and algorithmic logic.

### **Social Media Gurus, Followers and Power Relations**

The rise of social media gurus has reconfigured the relationship between leaders and followers by producing new forms of digital followership and online devotion that operate through visibility, emotional resonance and constant interaction rather than physical proximity or institutional mediation. In digital spaces, followership is formed through repeated exposure to messages, visuals, moral narratives etc. that invite identification and trust allowing devotees to experience a sense of belonging through likes, comments, shares and symbolic acts of online loyalty. This mode of devotion often takes the form of parasocial relationships where followers feel intimate emotional closeness to gurus who address audiences directly, share personal stories, and offer guidance that appears individualized despite being broadcast to mass publics (Horton & Wohl, 1956; Campbell, 2013) <sup>[5, 1]</sup>. Such mediated intimacy deepens emotional dependence and reinforces charismatic authority, as followers interpret accessibility and responsiveness as signs of authenticity and care. At the same time, digital charisma becomes increasingly embedded within processes of commercialization and monetization, as gurus and influencers convert attention into economic value through advertisements, subscriptions, paid consultations, branded



merchandise and donation links effectively transforming spiritual authority into a marketable asset (Einstein, 2008) [3]. This commercialization does not necessarily undermine belief; rather, it reshapes the moral economy of devotion by linking legitimacy to visibility, consumption, financial support, thereby intensifying inequalities between highly visible digital leaders and less mediated religious actors. Digital gurus often exercise significant moral authority over followers' everyday lives, influencing attitudes toward health, relationships, consumption, discipline and self-conduct blurring the boundary between spiritual guidance and lifestyle governance. This influence aligns with Weber's insight that charismatic authority demands obedience not through coercion but through perceived moral legitimacy, a dynamic that is amplified in digital environments where guidance is continuously present and emotionally reinforced (Weber, 1978) [11]. In the Indian context Mitra's sociological analyses of ascetic authority and public religious life demonstrate how moral legitimacy is negotiated through narrative, visibility and symbolic performance, offering a critical framework for understanding how digital gurus discipline followers while appearing benevolent and accessible (Mitra, 2025b) [7]. However, these power relations are not without critique as scholars and commentators have raised concerns about manipulation, exclusion and moral panic associated with digital charisma. Algorithmic amplification tends to privilege emotionally charged and simplified messages, marginalizing dissenting voices and reinforcing echo chambers that intensify loyalty while discouraging critical reflection (Sunstein, 2017) [10]. Moreover, access to digital devotion is uneven, shaped by language, digital literacy and platform visibility producing new exclusions even as participation appears democratized. Public anxieties surrounding fake gurus, misinformation and exploitative practices further reveal the ambivalence of digital charisma, where empowerment and vulnerability coexist. The key insight that emerges is that while social media dramatically expands the reach of charismatic figures and enables new forms of religious participation, it also intensifies asymmetries of power by concentrating influence in highly visible individuals whose authority is reinforced by emotional attachment, economic dependence and algorithmic control. Digital charisma thus exemplifies Weber's insight into the unstable yet potent nature of charismatic power, revealing how devotion, media and markets intersect to reshape authority in contemporary society.

## Conclusion

This paper has examined Max Weber's concept of charisma in the context of social media gurus, demonstrating that charisma has neither disappeared nor weakened in modern society but has been fundamentally restructured through digital media. By revisiting Weber's typology of authority and his insights into the relational and unstable nature of charisma, the analysis showed that charismatic power today operates through mediated visibility, emotional performance and algorithmic circulation rather than through sustained face-to-face interaction alone. Digital platforms have become crucial arenas where charisma is produced and recognized enabling gurus and influencers to cultivate devotion through storytelling, intimacy and constant presence while followers participate in the co-construction of authority through engagement, loyalty and symbolic

affirmation. In revisiting Weber in the digital age, the study reinforces his argument that charisma depends on social recognition and belief, even as the mechanisms of recognition shift from communal gatherings to metrics, platforms, and networked publics. The implications of this transformation extend beyond religion into politics and public culture, as digitally charismatic figures increasingly shape moral discourse, lifestyle norms and political attitudes blurring boundaries between spiritual guidance, self-help, consumption, ideological influence. At the same time, the limits of digital charisma are evident, as mediated authority remains fragile, contingent on visibility and vulnerable to scandal, saturation or algorithmic marginalization echoing Weber's emphasis on the inherent instability and routinization of charismatic power. Digital charisma also reproduces inequalities, privileging those with communicative skill, technological access, platform support while excluding quieter or less mediated forms of leadership. Future research would benefit from comparative and ethnographic studies examining how different religious and cultural contexts negotiate digital charisma, how followers interpret authority across generations and how algorithms shape belief and devotion in subtle ways. The central conclusion that emerges is that social media does not eliminate charisma; rather, it reorganizes how charismatic authority is produced, circulated and believed, reaffirming Weber's enduring relevance for understanding power, devotion, and leadership in a digitally mediated world.

## References

1. Campbell HA. Digital religion: Understanding religious practice in new media worlds. Routledge; 2013.
2. Castells M. The rise of the network society. 2nd ed. Wiley-Blackwell; 2010.
3. Einstein M. Brands of faith: Marketing religion in a commercial age. Routledge; 2008.
4. Hjarvard S. The mediatization of religion: Theorising religion, media and social change. *Culture and Religion*. 2011;12(2):119-135.
5. Horton D, Wohl RR. Mass communication and para-social interaction. *Psychiatry*. 1956;19(3):215-229.
6. Mitra DP. The ascetics of Kashi: A sociological exploration. *International Journal of Sociology and Humanities*. 2025;7(2):257-267. DOI: 10.33545/26648679.2025.v7.i2d.208.
7. Mitra DP. Religious tourism and ascetic integrity: A sociological study of economic dependency and sacred authenticity in Varanasi. *Journal of Research in Humanities and Social Science*. 2025;13(7):72-80.
8. Mitra DP. Digital yug mein jātīgāt janagaṇānā: Deṭā, loktantra tathā asmitā kā antarsambandh. *International Journal of Arts, Humanities and Social Studies*. 2025;7(1). doi:10.33545/26648652.2025.V7.I1G.219.
9. Mitra DP. Kāśī ke ghāṭon kā samājsāstra [The sociology of the ghats of Kashi]. *Shodh Prabha*. 2024;9(2):45-58.
10. Sunstein CR. Republic: Divided democracy in the age of social media. Princeton University Press; 2017.
11. Weber M. Economy and society. Roth G, Wittich C, editors. University of California Press; 1978.